

**Remarks**

The foregoing amendments and these remarks are in response to the Office Action, dated May 4, 2006. At the time of the Office Action, claims 1-16 were pending in the present application and were indicated as being allowed. Though this application is in condition for allowance, the Office Action objected to the application based on formal grounds. It is respectfully submitted that the above amendments to the specification and to the drawings satisfactorily address the outstanding formal matters.

The Examiner objected to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a) because the drawings do not show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. In light of changes outlined in the Amendments to the Drawings section above, Applicant believes that all requested features are now sufficiently shown in the drawings.

In the Amendments to the Specification above, most of the changes have been made to refer to the new drawing figures and to call out new or existing features shown in the drawing figures. Other changes were made to correct errors that were discovered upon further review. In the paragraph that begins on page 9, line 22, "discharge piping 70" was amended to read "sprinkler piping 70," as set forth earlier. Though the original recitation of reference number 70 was correct, it was mistakenly labeled "discharge piping." In the paragraph that begins on page 10, line 23, the word "an" was changed to "a" to correct a grammatical error. In the paragraph that begins on page 11, line 25, the phrase "as shown in FIG. 2" was deleted because it is used later in the same sentence, making it redundant. Finally, in the paragraph that begins on page 12, line 9, the following sentence has been deleted: "Upon completion of these tasks, the user may manually wind the second hose onto the reel 14, or the user can switch the valve 50 to the first

Appln. No. 10/715,864  
Amendment dated August 1, 2006  
Reply to Office Action dated May 4, 2006

position and let both the first hose 20 and second hose travel and wind back onto the reel 14 themselves." The description in this sentence is not accurate, so it has been removed.

Conclusion

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the formal matters objected to in the Office Action have been satisfactorily addressed. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding objections, and prompt issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

8/1/2006



Joseph W. Bain, USPTO Reg. No. 34,290  
Mark M. Zylka, USPTO Reg. No. 48,518  
AKERMAN SENTERFITT  
P.O. Box 3188  
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188  
Telephone: (561) 653-5000  
Facsimile: (561) 659-6313